UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	TID CASTAIN TAIGE IN THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN THE CO
-A-	JUDGMENT INCLUDING SENTENCE
- <b>V</b> -	UNDER THE SENTENCING REFORM ACT
DARIEL WORRELL	CASE NUMBER: CR-05-103 (ARR)
X	JUSTIN A. HARRIS, ESQ
	16 COURT STREET, 3rd FL.
	BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11241
	Defendant's Attorney & Address
THE DEFENDANT:	
XXX pleaded guilty to count one of the i	ndictment.
was found guilty on counts	after a plea of not guilty.
Accordingly, the defendant is ADJUDG	ED guilty of such count(s), which involve the
following offenses:	
TITLE & SECTION NATURE & OFFER	
	\ <del>-</del> /
1326(b)(2) A DEPORTED AL:	IEN.
to such count(s).  Remaining counts are dismissed on the XXX It is ordered that the defendant assessment of \$100.00 which shall be It is further ORDERED that the defendant shall be	entencing Reform Act of 1984.  Lity on count(s) and is discharged as
restitution, costs, and special assessment  Defendant's Soc. Sec #	cs imposed by this Judgment are fully paid.
Descindant 8 SUC. SEC #	APRIL 17, 2006
Defendant's Date of Birth 3/14/70	Date of Imposition of Sentence
Defendant's Mailing Address:	ALLYNE R. ROSS, U.S.D.J.
SAN JUDAS TADEO # 18	APRIL 17, 2006  Date
COLON, REPUBLIC OF PANAMA	A TRUE COPY ATTEST
Defendant's Residence Address:	Date:
( SAME AS ABOVE )	ROBERT C. HEINEMANN CLERK OF COURT
	B <b>y</b> :

DEPUTY CLERK

Defendant:DARIEL WORRELL Case Number: CR-05-103 (ARR) Judgment - Page of

## IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of thirty four (34) months. Deft's sentencing is to run concurrently with sentencing of three months imposed on the deft's violation case CR-05-423.

XXX	The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT BE HOUSED AT THE FACILITY AT FORT DIX.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district,
	ata.m./p.m. on
	as notified by the Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons
	before 12:00 noon on
	as notified by the United States Marshal.
	as notified by the Probation Office.
	RETURN
	I have executed this Judgment as follows:
Dofor	dent deliment de
———	ndant delivered on to at at, with a certified copy of this Judgment.
	United States Marshal
	By

Defendant:DARIEL WORRELL Case Number:CR-05-103(ARR)

Judgment - Page

## SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of three (3) years.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another Federal, state, or local crime and shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth on the following page). If this judgment imposes a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release. The defendant shall comply with the following additional conditions:

- 1) IF EXCLUDED; DEFT SHALL NOT RE-ENTER THE UNITED STATES ILLEGALLY.
- 2) DEFT SHALL NOT POSSESS ANY FIREARMS.

The defendant shall pay any fines that remain unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release.

Defendant: DARIEL WORRELL Case Number: CR-05-103 (ARR)

· ' · · ^ ,

Judgment - Page of

## STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

While the defendant is on probation or supervised release pursuant to this Judgment:

- 1) The defendant shall not commit another Federal, state or local crime;
- 2) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 3) the defendant shall report to the probation officer as directed by the court or probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 4) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 5) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 6) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 7) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of any change in residence or employment;
- the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 9) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 10) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer;
- 12) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 13) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

These conditions are in addition to any other conditions imposed by this Judgment.

Defendant: DARIEL WORRELL

Case Number: CR-05-103 (ARR)

FINE WITH SPECIAL ASSESSMENT

The defendant shall pay to the United States the sum of \$ 100.00 \_\_\_\_, consisting of a fine of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ n/A \_\_\_\_ and a special assessment of \$ 100.00 \_\_\_\_.

These amounts are the totals of the fines and assessments imposed on individual counts, as follows:

This sum shall be paid \_\_\_\_\_ immediately \_\_\_\_\_ as follows:

The interest requirement is waived.

The interest requirement is modified as follows:

pay any fines, cost of confinement or supervision.

(BEGINNING OF EXCERPT.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, addressing the sentencing factors set forth in 3553(a), I first consider the guidelines; there's no dispute concerning the accuracy of the guidelines calculation in the presentence report. Defendant is at an adjusted offense level of 21, Criminal History Category 3 calling for an advisory guideline range of 46 to 57 months.

As regards the remainder of the sentencing factors in Section 3553(a), I turn first to nature and circumstances of the offense. As urged by defense counsel and corroborated by the presentence report and various letter submissions on behalf of the defendant, while defendant's immigration violation is a serious matter, the motivation for that violation was significantly more benign. Defendant's return to the United States was not motivated by pecuniary gain or any desire for an opportunity to engage in further criminal Rather, apparently desperately missing his children conduct. from whom he had been separated for four years, he returned to visit them for the Christmas holiday. In fact, his original plan was apparently not to return but to send a ticket permitting his youngest, four-year old son to visit him in Panama but defendant's ex-wife would not permit this as in her view the child was too young and defendant could not afford tickets for all of his children, hence, he reentered illegally to be reunited with his children not permanently but rather

for a short visit.

As defendant's friend, Jaime Bucher (ph) writes, he had told the defendant the defendant's 12-year old daughter had sustained a serious injury and that this incident also prompted him to come even if only for the Christmas holiday.

I'm in agreement with defense counsel that the limited nature of defendant's intended visit and the circumstances and motivations prompting his commission of the instant offense constitute mitigating factors in assessing an appropriate sentence.

Turning to the history and characteristics of the defendant, defendant has a lengthy and steady employment history and was devoted to caring for his family. While living in the United States he assisted his wife financially in purchasing a home and completing her education. Since returning to Panama he's maintained full employment and sent \$250 monthly to assist in support of the children.

I also note the information brought to my attention today that the defendant's mother is apparently extremely ill and there are not many people in Panama at this point in time to help her out.

So, I do view his personal characteristics, his hard working employment history, his devotion to the support of his family and his care for his mother are also mitigating factors.

Defendant's third ground for requesting a non-guideline sentence below the advisory guideline range is that under the Sentencing Reform Act, specifically subdivision 6 of 18 United States Code Section 3553(a), a sentence more lenient than that called for by the advisory guidelines is necessary to avoid creating an unwarranted disparity with the sentences received by illegal reentry defendants arrested and charged in so-called Fast Track districts.

I am in agreement with counsel that in an appropriate case the existence of Fast Track disparity warrants consideration under the sentencing statute. In this case, however, the sentence I view as otherwise appropriate under the sentencing statute arrived at by consideration of the factors already noted in the context of the goals of sentencing enumerated in the statute, that is custody of the Attorney General for a period of 34 months, falls well within the sentence that would be meted out in the Fast Track districts. In six such districts defendants would be sentenced to 30 to 37 months imprisonment, in four such districts to 37 to 46 months, and in two districts 41 to 51 months. Accordingly, there is in this case no reason to rely on this factor in assessing sentence.

Therefore, upon consideration of all of the factors set forth in Section 3553(a), I find a sentence of 34 months imprisonment sufficient but no more severe than necessary to

accomplish the goals of sentencing.

I will, therefore, sentence Mr. Worrell to the custody of the Attorney General -- this is now on the indictment -- to a period of 34 months to be followed by a three-year period of supervised release with special conditions requiring that obviously if deported, he not illegally reenter the United States.

And let me say, Mr. Worrell, were this to happen again, no one would find it in their heart to give you any more leniency.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I also prohibit possession of a firearm.

I make a finding that he's unable to pay a fine but I will impose the mandatory \$100 special assessment.

On the violation I will impose three months custody but with the consent of the parties I will make that run consecutively -- no, sorry, concurrently with the 34 months.

There are no open counts, right?

MR. ELLIOT: Just Count One of the supervised release violation but not in the indictment.

THE COURT: Okay, then I will dismiss that.

Mr. Worrell, there are circumstances in which a defendant may appeal the sentence, I don't know that it is going to apply in your case but you discuss that with Ms. Harris. If you choose to appeal, a notice of appeal has to be

filed within ten days and a lawyer would represent you.

MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, if I could just ask, Mr. Worrell's family is located in this area and in New Jersey, if Your Honor could make a recommendation that he serve his time at Fort Dix.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you, Judge.

(End of proceedings.)